

Navigating Digital Leadership: Unraveling the Dynamics of Remote Work Environments

Ahmed M. Asfahani ¹

¹ *University of Business and Technology, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia*

Abstract – This study examines the dynamics of digital leadership within remote work settings, emphasizing the significance of transformational leadership and cultural diversity. With the growing adoption of remote work practices by organizations, it is essential to comprehend effective leadership strategies within digital environments. A literature review analyses the transition from conventional leadership theories to collaborative and distributed leadership models that are more appropriate for the complexities of the digital era. This study underscores the importance of transformational leadership within virtual teams, stressing the necessity for leaders to inspire and motivate team members in remote environments. The study examines the impact of cultural diversity in remote teams, highlighting the challenges it poses for communication and collaboration, alongside its potential to foster team creativity and innovation. This study highlights the significance of cultural intelligence and conflict management skills in the management of cultural diversity within remote teams. Digital tools are crucial for efficient remote team leadership since they enable task collaboration, progress tracking, and information dissemination. This research advances the theoretical framework of digital leadership and provides practical insights for enterprises, executives, and policymakers aiming to manage distant teams effectively.

Keywords – Digital leadership, remote work, cultural diversity, team dynamics, conceptual study.

DOI: 10.18421/TEM141-73

<https://doi.org/10.18421/TEM141-73>

Corresponding author: Ahmed M. Asfahani,
*University of Business and Technology, Jeddah,
Saudi Arabia*


Email: a.asfahani@ubt.edu.sa

Received: 04 August 2024.

Revised: 30 January 2025.

Accepted: 18 February 2025.

Published: 27 February 2025.

 © 2025 Ahmed M. Asfahani; published by UIKTEN. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 License.

The article is published with Open Access at
<https://www.temjournal.com/>

1. Introduction

The extensive wave of digital transformation has significantly altered organizational dynamics and the fundamental nature of leadership paradigms [1], [2]. A notable result of this transition is the rising trend of remote work, a flexible work style that radically alters conventional workplace norms [3], [4], [5]. Fueled by the global COVID-19 pandemic, forecasts indicate that by 2030, remote work will comprise 70% of the global workforce, predominantly on a full-time basis [6]. Thus, effective leadership is necessary to guide firms through this unfamiliar landscape [7]. Traditional leadership theories and methods that are based on co-located work settings might not be able to handle the unique challenges of remote work [8], [9], [10]. This identified shortcoming has accentuated the importance of digital leadership, a conceptual construct encapsulating the critical skill set, competencies, and practices required for successful leadership in the digital era [11], [12].

Despite the crucial importance of digital leadership in remote work environments, a thorough and clear comprehension of this concept remains unattainable [13], [14], [15]. Current academic discussions predominantly concentrate on analyzing particular facets of digital leadership [16], [17], [18] or remote work [19], [20], [21], but comprehensive viewpoints that simultaneously investigate both areas are seldom in the literature [22], [23]. The impact of cultural diversity on remote teams and the implications of different leadership styles in these settings have not been extensively studied [24]. The lack of complete understanding may impede effective leadership in remote settings, adversely affecting organizational efficiency and employee satisfaction.

The aim of this conceptual study is to address these knowledge gaps and offer a comprehensive lens to examine the intersection of digital leadership and remote work. The study intends to construct an integrated conceptual framework that illuminates the competencies and practices of digital leaders in remote work contexts while dissecting how they navigate the unique opportunities and challenges inherent in these environments.

This study is structured around three primary components: 1) a comprehensive examination of the competencies and practices of digital leaders in remote settings, 2) a thorough analysis of different leadership styles in these environments, and 3) an investigation into the effects of cultural diversity on remote teams. This study employs a comprehensive and integrative approach to enhance the understanding of digital leadership in the context of remote work.

The anticipated findings of this study are expected to yield substantial theoretical and practical implications. It seeks to augment the current body of academic knowledge concerning digital leadership and remote labor by offering a more integrated understanding of these phenomena. Moreover, the findings may function as essential benchmarks for companies, leaders, and governments. Insights derived from the study could facilitate the development of effective training modules for digital leaders, allowing them to refine their competencies for successful leadership in distant environments. This study could influence policy matters related to remote work, particularly in establishing effective guidelines for communication and collaboration within remote teams. This conceptual study seeks to establish a foundation for effective leadership techniques in the digital era through the integration of digital leadership and remote work.

2. Literature Review

In the contemporary digital environment, leadership is being redefined by technical advancements and changing workplace dynamics, requiring a reevaluation of traditional conceptions. The increasing incidence of remote and distributed teams highlights the necessity to modify leadership strategies to tackle emerging communication issues, sustain cohesion over distances, and effectively utilize digital tools. Accordingly, the subsections that follow examine leadership from multiple angles: the first revisits foundational theories, the second explores remote work's rapid growth, benefits, and challenges, the third investigates strategies for leading virtual teams through communication and trust-building, and the fourth spotlights the emergence of digital leadership, emphasizing innovation, technological fluency, and ongoing learning. By synthesizing these elements, this review illustrates how leadership must evolve to meet the complex demands of modern, technology-driven work environments.

2.1. Conceptualization of Leadership.

Conventional leadership theories have historically provided the foundation for comprehending leadership dynamics in several circumstances [25].

For instance, the trait theory of leadership asserts that effective leaders inherently possess specific attributes, including intelligence, self-confidence, determination, integrity, and sociability [26]. Nonetheless, it is crucial to acknowledge that this theory has faced criticism for its failure to account for situational aspects that may affect leadership efficacy [27]. According to the behavioral paradigm, individuals can cultivate exceptional leadership skills through instruction and observation [28]. This approach has also faced criticism, especially for neglecting the unique characteristics that may predispose a person to leadership [29]. The contingency theory of leadership [30], underscores the significance of both the leader's personality and the contextual circumstances of leadership. This idea posits that effective leadership depends on aligning a leader's style with the appropriate context [31]. Nonetheless, the contingency theory has faced criticism for its inflexibility and inability to adapt to swiftly evolving economic landscapes [32].

The digital realm requires a reassessment and modification of conventional theories [12]. The digital revolution has prompted a shift from hierarchical to more collaborative and distributed leadership paradigms, referred to as shared or collective leadership [33]. The increasing complexity and swift growth of digital environments drive this transformation, necessitating a more agile and responsive leadership strategy [34]. Moreover, the digital era has witnessed the emergence of transformational leadership, which emphasizes encouraging and motivating followers to surpass expectations and achieve their utmost potential [35]. This leadership approach is especially effective in virtual teams, where leaders must motivate members through many communication channels [13]. Furthermore, the digital era has highlighted the need for technical skills in leadership [36]. Leaders must now understand and oversee the use of different technologies, which highlights the need for a lifelong learning strategy to improve digital skills [37], [38], [39]. Moreover, in the digital era, the environment of leadership has become integral to the very design of leadership itself [11]. The interplay between information technology and organizational frameworks has resulted in the development of e-leadership and digital leadership, wherein leaders and technology mutually affect and transform one another in a recursive connection [40], [41], [42].

2.2. Remote Work

The evolving workplace has seen a significant shift toward remote employment, telecommuting, and digital nomadism, fueled by technical advancements and the COVID-19 epidemic [20]. Remote work is a flexible job structure that allows individuals to carry out their obligations outside of a traditional office environment [43].

Telecommuting historically denoted working from home expressly, typically involving a consistent schedule and a written arrangement with the employer [44]. Digital nomadism advances remote work by granting individuals autonomy over their tasks while enabling them to work and travel concurrently [45]. Notwithstanding the variations in definitions, the unifying element among these phrases is the use of technology to execute tasks beyond a conventional office environment. Technology is essential for facilitating remote work, as digital tools and platforms enhance communication and cooperation [46], [47]. Nonetheless, technological concerns, including technical limitations and the risk of surveillance, must be addressed [21], [48]. Technology training and assistance are essential for enabling staff to utilize the requisite tools efficiently [46], [47]. The influence of technology on employee well-being is ambivalent, exhibiting both beneficial and detrimental impacts [46], [47], [48].

The advantages of remote work are widely recognized in literature. Increased flexibility allows for improved work-life balance, as employees can adjust their work hours and eliminate commuting time [49], [50], [51]. Cost savings can be achieved for both employees and employers through reduced commuting expenses and office-related costs [52]. Remote work has the capacity to improve workplace performance and productivity, albeit the effects may differ [53], [54]. Effective leadership, especially in the digital realm, is essential for optimizing the advantages of remote work and promoting diversity and inclusion [55]. However, the challenges associated with remote work should not be overlooked. Feelings of isolation, communication difficulties, productivity concerns, and technological challenges are among the main challenges identified in the literature [48], [49], [56], [57]. Effective digital leadership is essential to mitigating these challenges and creating a supportive remote work environment [48].

The rise of remote work has blurred the boundaries between professional and personal lives, potentially alienating individuals from their organizational culture [44]. Leaders must recognize cultural subtleties, especially when managing diverse teams that include digital nomads, who often face cultural differences and legal challenges [45]. Remote work can enhance diversity and inclusivity by enabling individuals who are unable to work in traditional office settings for various reasons to engage [58]. However, this diversity could exacerbate injustices if not adequately managed [56], [59]. Thus, effective leadership is crucial for managing the transition to remote work and directing remote teams [60]. Leaders must modify their leadership approaches to suit the remote work environment, prioritizing communication, trust, and autonomy [43], [61].

They must also mitigate the dangers of overwork and burnout while fostering employee well-being [62], [63].

Leadership practices have evolved in the context of remote work, necessitating that leaders function as change agents, modify communication strategies, and tackle challenges associated with communication, trust-building, and employee well-being [64], [65]. The transition to remote work requires the acquisition of new skills and competencies for effective digital leadership, alongside an emphasis on stakeholder management and communication [66], [67]. The significance of organizational culture and policies in facilitating effective digital leadership is essential [68].

In summary, remote work presents considerable benefits; nonetheless, its efficacy is contingent upon multiple aspects and necessitates proficient leadership and administration. Although it offers flexibility, cost efficiency, and the possibility of enhanced job performance, issues with isolation, communication, and productivity must be resolved. Technology is vital in facilitating remote work; yet, it is essential to address technological obstacles and the well-being of workers. Proficient digital leadership is crucial for addressing these problems and optimizing the advantages of remote work.

2.3. Leading Remote Teams

The digitization era introduces distinct challenges and opportunities in managing remote teams, which have been thoroughly examined in recent research [10], [13], [65], [66], [69]. To successfully navigate these complexities, leaders must leverage a variety of strategies, ranging from transformational leadership techniques to creating a sense of psychological safety, while also utilizing digital tools to bridge geographical divides [13], [65], [70]. One of the foremost challenges in leading remote teams is maintaining effective communication across diverse platforms, which can significantly influence team interactions and performance [13]. Additionally, leaders must manage the collective effort of geographically dispersed teams, ensuring alignment and effective prioritization of work [10], [71]. The ability to foster a sense of psychological safety is particularly crucial in a virtual setup, as it directly impacts team members' well-being [65]. Effective crisis management, with a focus on safeguarding the organization's image, financial stability, and employees' morale, is another critical facet of remote team leadership [66]. In terms of opportunities, remote work allows organizations to access global talent and optimize teams beyond geographical limitations [10], [69], [71]. Furthermore, leaders can use the inherent diversity within remote teams to enhance productivity, provided they manage it effectively [70].

The remote work environment also provides an avenue for employees to develop self-leadership strategies, which can improve their job satisfaction and overall well-being [65].

Digital tools have been instrumental in facilitating effective remote team leadership, as they enable task coordination, team progress monitoring, and knowledge sharing across geographical boundaries [42], [72], [73]. However, the successful use of these tools requires leaders to navigate potential technical challenges and ensure that team members are proficient in their use [74]. A balanced approach that integrates functional and visionary leadership can maximize the benefits of these tools, creating a conducive environment for remote teams to thrive [75]. Leadership styles suitable for remote teams include transformational, servant, and shared leadership styles, all of which focus on inspiring, motivating, and serving team members to foster trust and cohesion [72], [76], [77], [78]. These styles are complemented by strong digital competencies, which are now an integral part of effective remote team leadership [79], [80]. The influence of cultural diversity in remote teams is significant, with culturally intelligent leaders better equipped to navigate potential conflicts and foster a shared identity among team members [81], [82], [83]. Although managing cultural diversity presents its own set of challenges, when harnessed effectively, it can be a major driver for performance and innovation.

To sum up, leading remote teams in the digital age is a complex, multifaceted task. However, through effective communication, the use of appropriate digital tools, a nuanced leadership approach, and the ability to harness the potential of diversity, leaders can successfully manage and motivate their remote teams. Future research can explore these concepts in various contexts to further enrich our understanding of remote team leadership.

2.4. Digital Leadership

The contemporary idea of “digital leadership”, increasingly recognized across varying disciplines, is characterized by a multiplicity of interpretations. Numerous studies concur that the essence of digital leadership lies in harnessing digital technologies to incite transformation and propel organizational success. The crucial role of these leaders in shaping the digital landscape within their organizations has been highlighted, emphasizing their significant influence [84], [85]. More specifically, seen as pivotal figures in instigating a culture of innovation and digital readiness. However, there is no one-size-fits-all definition for this role. Digital leadership has been viewed as the ability to motivate others toward organizational digitalization [86].

Some perspectives offer a more nuanced understanding, defining remote leadership, a subset of digital leadership, as a mode of leadership where team members are geographically or temporally separated [87]. Other discussions emphasize the importance of technical skills in underpinning effective leadership in the digital sphere [88]. Despite these diverse perspectives, a central debate emerges surrounding the optimal practices for digital leadership. While some scholars endorse a proactive approach [84], others advocate for a more cautious strategy that carefully weighs the opportunities and risks of digitalization.[89].

A number of theories and frameworks have emerged to characterize digital leadership and to provide light on its function and influence in a variety of sectors. Research highlights the importance of transformational leadership in implementing digital leadership, particularly in higher education, fostering innovative work behavior, and supporting new ideas [89], [90]. Subsequent research supports this, demonstrating a positive impact of digital leadership on teachers' technology integration during the COVID-19 epidemic [16]. Interpretations of digital leadership differ across sectors. Certain studies highlight policies, values, and aims in essential educational institutions [91], whereas others investigate leadership themes in the construction industry [84]. One common theme is the progress of innovation. For example, research looks at the link between manufacturing companies' ability to innovate, the use of sustainable processes and new products, and the digital leadership. It is found that digital leadership enhances long-term performance [92]. The concept of “inverse transparency” has been developed as an innovative paradigm for digital leadership, tackling difficulties related to cost, time, and resistance to change [93].

Digital technology has enabled the emergence of digital leadership, encompassing difficulties associated with communication, trust, technology, team dynamics, and the nature of work [94], [95], [96], [97], [98]. Communication is an essential element of digital leadership, and studies underscore its significance. Research underscores the necessity for coherent and structured virtual communication [99], while further studies stress the importance of e-communication proficiency and consistency with earlier findings [95], [93]. Trust is an essential component, as some feel that fostering trust inside the virtual environment is crucial [22]. Research further substantiates the beneficial effects of virtual leadership on employees' mental health, job happiness, and diminished feelings of isolation, hence emphasizing the need for trust [100]. Coordination and the strategic use of technology are crucial, with research characterizing it as the management of resources and work activities [99].

Further research examines the difficulties related to the utilization of information and communication technology (ICT) in leadership, emphasizing the necessity of efficient coordination [87]. Finally, the literature underscores the history of digital leadership across time, featuring thorough evaluations of its development and advancement [40]. Digital leadership, as a dynamic and evolving discipline, necessitates ongoing upgrades in methods and tactics to align with technology improvements.

3. Methodology

This study employs a methodology based on an in-depth review of the literature, which is particularly appropriate for the theoretical and conceptual aspects of the research [101], [102], [103]. This method enables a comprehensive examination of the study's primary themes: digital leadership, leadership styles in remote work settings, cultural diversity in remote teams, and the utilization of digital tools.

The initial phase of the process entails a systematic review of the literature. This approach is carefully structured to guarantee a thorough and pertinent compilation of materials [104]. To do this, the search method utilizes targeted keywords and phrases pertinent to the study's primary themes, while the search criteria are modified as needed to encompass the most relevant literature. The databases utilized for this search encompass, but are not restricted to, Google Scholar, Scopus, and the Web of Science. Subsequent to the literature search, the selection of sources is a pivotal phase. Every identified possible source is subjected to a thorough evaluation process [105]. The review process begins with an assessment of the titles and abstracts of the sources to ascertain their relevance. Sources that pass this initial review are next subjected to an extensive textual analysis. This selection process is comprehensive, including both contemporary research to reflect current insights and seminal works to guarantee the recognition of fundamental concepts.

After selecting the sources, each one undergoes a thorough evaluation to ascertain its quality and relevance. This assessment analyzes the methods utilized in each source, the reliability of the results, and the relevance of the source to the research. This essential method employs reliable, high-quality sources, enhancing the study's credibility [106]. Following the critical appraisal, data extraction from each source entails documenting pertinent theories, concepts, findings, and implications for digital leadership. The gathered data serves as the basis for the formulation of the study's conceptual model. Moreover, synthesizing information from many sources facilitates a thorough comprehension of the study's principal issues [107].

This synthesis approach identifies commonalities, variations, trends, and gaps in the literature, offering a comprehensive overview of the current research status on the study's issues. The final phase of the technique entails composing a comprehensive literature study and delineating the conceptual model [108]. The literature review analyzes the theories and findings relevant to each of the study's core issues. The conceptual model is thereafter offered, clarifying the integration of these ideas and data to create a comprehensive framework for understanding digital leadership in the context of remote work.

4. Theoretical Framework

In an age of digital transformation that alters organizational structures and workflows, a solid theoretical framework is crucial for comprehending the changing dynamics of leadership. The subsequent sections investigate the fundamental theories of digital leadership, emphasizing its convergence with entrepreneurial and transformational aspects (4.1), analyze the adaptation of diverse leadership styles to optimize remote work environments (4.2), assess the challenges posed by cultural diversity within virtual teams (4.3), and propose a conceptual framework that integrates these elements to facilitate effective digital leadership in remote settings (4.4).

4.1. Theoretical Underpinnings of Digital Leadership

Due to the rapid digitalization of enterprises and society, digital leadership has gained attention in leadership and organizational studies [41]. Digital leadership theory is complicated, combining leadership, organizational behavior, and information technology [93]. Transformational leadership is central to the notion of digital leadership. Transformational leaders encourage and motivate their followers to prioritize organizational goals over personal interests [22], [90]. In the digital context, these leaders define a strategic vision for a digital future and possess the requisite skills for its successful implementation [89]. This transformative vision presents challenges, making the role of entrepreneurial leadership essential. Entrepreneurial leaders navigate uncertainties associated with future visions and their realization, enabling the vision by proactively identifying and removing internal and external obstacles [89]. The entrepreneurial spirit is especially pertinent in the context of digital transformation, which frequently entails substantial changes and uncertainties.

Shifting focus from transformational and entrepreneurial elements, a crucial aspect of digital leadership is the capacity to promote innovative work behavior.

Digital leaders promote and support the exploration, generation, championing, and implementation of ideas [109]. The emphasis on innovation serves as a crucial factor in establishing a competitive advantage in the digital era. Digital leadership encompasses the establishment of a digital entrepreneurial orientation and a digital organizational culture to promote exploratory innovation [110]. This entails investing in digital technologies and infrastructure, as well as developing associated capabilities, including big data analytics capabilities.

The significance of diversity becomes evident as exploring the topic of digital leadership more thoroughly. Cognitive and gender diversity within management teams are seen as essential assets for fostering significant innovation and digitalization processes [86]. This indicates that digital leadership encompasses not only technical proficiency and expertise but also diversity and inclusivity. This comprehension of digital leadership encompasses virtual teams, where it assumes a distinct dimension, necessitating good communication, collaboration, and trust [99]. These are essential elements for facilitating effective communication and participation in virtual teams, which are more prevalent in the digital era. Moreover, digital leadership is defined by essential attributes such as innovation, digital proficiency, robust networks, cooperation, participatory involvement, and vision [111]. These attributes are seen as essential for effective digital transformation, highlighting the complex nature of digital leadership.

The theoretical foundations of digital leadership are varied and complex, mirroring the intricacies and fluidity of the digital era. There is agreement in the research about how important transformational leadership, entrepreneurial leadership, innovative work behavior, diversity, and essential leadership traits are. However, it is also agreed that this is an area that needs more research and investigation.

4.2. The Role of Different Leadership Styles in Remote Work Environments

The rise of remote work environments has prompted a reassessment of conventional leadership styles, with studies highlighting the development and effectiveness of various leadership approaches in these settings. The literature outlines various leadership styles, each characterized by particular attributes and consequences for remote work dynamics. Transformational leadership, defined by the capacity to inspire and motivate team members to surpass their own performance objectives, is acknowledged as a vital component in remote work environments [112]. This method cultivates team members' capacity to tackle environmental issues and encourages collaboration, leading to improved team viability, performance, and member satisfaction [112].

The concept of self-leadership, wherein employees oversee the own work and productivity, is identified as a personal resource that enhances productivity and work engagement while reducing stress in work-from-home (WFH) environments [113]. This approach encompasses the establishment of personal objectives, the management of individual tasks, and the maintenance of physical well-being.

Shared leadership, a pertinent approach in the context of virtual teams, entails many team members assuming leadership positions [114]. Task-oriented behaviors encompass the allocation of duties and responsibilities among team members, whereas relations-oriented behaviors focus on fostering strong relationships and collaboration among team members. This approach has been shown to increase productivity and satisfaction in virtual organizations [114]. In virtual work environments, compassionate management leadership has been especially effective in addressing challenges such as physical isolation, trust formation, and turnover intention. This leadership style is defined by empathy, compassion, and a genuine concern for the well-being of employees [115]. Nonetheless, not all leadership styles provide favorable outcomes. Destructive leadership, marked by detrimental behaviors towards subordinates, can elevate job demands, exhaust resources, and obstruct recovery experiences, resulting in adverse consequences on employees' well-being [116]. The literature offers frameworks for managing hybrid workforces, like the CAARE framework (Communication, Accountability, Alignment, Remote support, and Engagement), which integrates configuration, autonomy-alignment, relationships, and equity to reconcile individual flexibility with collective efficacy [117].

While these leadership styles and theories provide valuable insights into the dynamics of remote work, the research reveals gaps and contradictions. Certain studies focus on certain demographics, such as female managers [115], while others neglect to investigate the relationships among different leadership styles [113], [116], [117]. These gaps underscore the need for more study to attain a more comprehensive understanding of the effects of different leadership styles in distant work environments.

4.3. The Influence of Cultural Diversity in Remote Teams

The cultural diversity present in remote teams has garnered considerable attention in recent years, especially in light of the growing globalization of labor and the emergence of remote work structures. The impact of cultural diversity on team dynamics, performance, and communication in remote teams is intricate and multifaceted, as evidenced by various studies offering diverse perspectives on the matter.

Research highlights the significance of proficient leadership in overseeing cultural diversity in distant teams [118], [119]. Leadership is essential in cultivating cultural intelligence and addressing cultural disputes, which can profoundly affect team dynamics and performance. Research corroborates this perspective, demonstrating that leaders can utilize cultural variety to augment team creativity and innovation [83]. Nonetheless, overseeing cultural diversity in remote teams entails numerous problems. Research underscores the possibility of cultural misconceptions and disputes that may obstruct communication and collaboration [115]. Cultural differences can result in discrepancies in work styles and expectations, hence disrupting team interactions [120].

The virtual nature of remote teams further intensifies these challenges. Research suggests that the degree of team virtuality can impact team dynamics, including communication and conflict resolution processes [121]. Additionally, maintaining organizational culture in highly virtual work environments presents difficulties, with studies proposing structured approaches, such as the development of an organizational culture handbook, to mitigate these challenges [122]. Another critical aspect is the influence of cultural diversity on employee well-being in remote teams. Findings indicate that the positive or negative effects of technology-mediated communication in geographically distributed teams on psychological well-being depend on how individuals perceive the employment conditions [123]. Furthermore, a comparative analysis of the effects of virtual work intensity on job satisfaction among employees from different cultural backgrounds suggests that cultural differences can shape the relationship between virtual work intensity and job satisfaction [124]. These insights highlight the importance of considering cultural diversity when managing remote teams.

Cultural diversity significantly impacts remote teams, affecting team dynamics, performance, communication, and overall well-being through various interrelated factors. Effective leadership, clear communication, awareness of cultural differences, and proper management of virtual work intensity are essential for overseeing cultural diversity in remote teams. Additional research is required to investigate these issues more thoroughly and to formulate effective strategies for managing cultural diversity in remote teams.

4.4. The Conceptual Model

This study's conceptual model centers on the concept of "Digital Leadership." The strategic vision for a digital future encompasses essential elements such as entrepreneurial leadership, innovative work behavior, and the advancement of diversity and inclusivity in the digital age.

Secondly, these four key aspects of digital leadership function as distinct entities that together enhance the overall concept of digital leadership. Subsequently, several effective "Leadership Styles in Remote Work Environments" have been recognized regarding these fundamental elements. The styles of transformational leadership, self-leadership, shared leadership, and compassionate management leadership interact with and impact the efficacy of digital leadership, consequently significantly influencing the dynamics of distant work environments. The model recognizes the substantial impact of "Cultural Diversity in Remote Teams" on team dynamics, performance, and communication. This element encapsulates the complexities and challenges of managing cultural diversity in remote teams, as well as its interaction with digital leadership and leadership styles in remote work environments. The model's essential element is the "Use of Digital Tools," facilitating effective remote team leadership. These tools facilitate the sharing of information across geographical boundaries, coordination of tasks, and monitoring of team progress. These tools significantly enhance the effectiveness of digital leadership.

5. Discussion

This study examines digital leadership and remote work, including two phenomena that have gained prominence in the contemporary digital era. The literature review indicates a transition from conventional leadership theories to collaborative and distributed leadership models, which are better aligned with the complexities and rapid changes characteristic of digital environments [12]. Transformational leadership has proven to be an effective style in virtual teams, highlighting the necessity for leaders to inspire and motivate their team members [35].

This study also emphasizes the impact of cultural diversity on remote teams. The findings indicate that although cultural variety may provide obstacles in communication and collaboration [115], proficient leadership may utilize this diversity to augment team creativity and innovation [83]. However, managing cultural diversity in distant teams necessitates cultural intelligence and the capacity to address possible cultural disputes [118].

The shift to remote work, although providing notable benefits like flexibility and cost efficiency [62], also introduces issues with isolation, communication, and productivity [61]. The study emphasizes the vital importance of proficient leadership in addressing these obstacles and optimizing the advantages of remote employment. Digital tools are essential for efficient remote team leadership, allowing for task coordination, progress monitoring, and knowledge exchange across geographical borders [72].

5.1. Theoretical Implications

The findings of this study contribute to the literature by offering theoretical implications that enrich the comprehension of digital leadership and remote work. This study provides a comprehensive analysis of traditional leadership theories, highlighting the limitations in the context of the digital age. This highlights the necessity for a shift in leadership perspectives, transitioning from hierarchical models to more collaborative and diffused forms of leadership [12]. This theoretical change may establish a foundation for future study in leadership studies, particularly with digital and remote work situations. The study elucidates the role of transformational leadership within virtual teams [35]. This enhances the current transformational leadership theory by illustrating its efficacy in a remote work environment, thus offering a novel viewpoint for leadership research. The study examines the complexities involved in managing cultural diversity within remote teams. It highlights the importance of cultural intelligence and conflict management skills in leadership [118]. This enhances the theoretical framework regarding cultural diversity within teams and the leadership's function in overseeing this diversity. Finally, the study presents the concept of digital leadership, which includes a strategic vision for a digital future, entrepreneurial leadership, innovative work behavior, and a focus on diversity and inclusivity [84], [85], [125]. This novel conceptualization of leadership in the digital age offers a theoretical framework to inform future research in this domain.

5.2. Practical Implications

The study offers organizations, executives, and governments pragmatic ways to adeptly navigate the challenges of digital leadership and remote work. The shift from traditional to collaborative and dispersed leadership underscores the need for a change in leadership development strategy. Organizations ought to perceive this as an opportunity to reformulate the leadership development initiatives. By developing training modules that emphasize transformational leadership abilities, cultural intelligence, and conflict management, leaders may be prepared to excel in distant environments.

The findings of this study can be a valuable resource for policy formulation. The insights obtained may inform the development of guidelines that enhance communication and collaboration among remote teams. Furthermore, policies designed to manage cultural diversity may be guided in remote teams, thereby fostering a harmonious and productive work environment.

The research emphasizes the critical importance of digital tools in enabling efficient remote team leadership. This indicates that organizations must invest in appropriate digital tools and ensure team proficiency in the utilization. These tools facilitate task coordination, monitor team progress, and promote knowledge sharing across geographical boundaries, thereby enhancing the effectiveness of remote work.

The challenges associated with isolation, communication, and productivity in remote work highlight the necessity of prioritizing employee well-being. Leaders must proactively address the risks associated with overwork and burnout. This may entail the implementation of policies that promote work-life balance, the provision of mental health support, and the cultivation of a culture characterized by trust and autonomy. The study highlights the capacity of cultural diversity to improve team creativity and innovation. Leaders can capitalize on this potential by promoting a culture of inclusivity and respect for diversity. This may entail the implementation of diversity and inclusion training programs and the promotion of a culture that values diverse perspectives.

5.3. Limitations and Future Studies

This study's significant contributions must be weighed against its limitations, which also suggest directions for future research. A limitation of this study is its dependence on existing literature for conceptualizing digital leadership and remote work. This approach offers a broad overview but may overlook the nuances and complexities of these phenomena in real-world contexts. Future research may gain from empirical studies examining these concepts across diverse organizational contexts. This study primarily examines the role of leadership in managing cultural diversity within remote teams. This aspect is important; however, factors such as team dynamics, organizational culture, and individual characteristics may also affect the effectiveness of remote teams. Future research may benefit from a comprehensive approach that investigates these supplementary characteristics and the interaction with leadership. The study fails to address potential drawbacks of digital leadership, including the risk of excessive dependence on digital tools and the difficulties associated with sustaining work-life balance in a digital workplace. Subsequent studies may investigate these possible drawbacks and propose strategies for their mitigation. The study emphasizes transformative leadership within remote work settings, suggesting opportunities for further investigation into various leadership styles. Future research could explore the efficacy of alternative leadership styles, including servant leadership and authentic leadership, within remote work environments.

6. Conclusion

Digital leadership in remote work settings is essential for organizational success, especially when companies adopt flexible and technology-oriented work models. This study highlights the significance of transformational leadership, which empowers leaders to inspire, motivate, and engage remote people while cultivating trust and collaboration. Cultural diversity presents challenges and opportunities, requiring leaders to develop cultural intelligence and conflict resolution skills to harness its potential for creativity and innovation. The effective use of digital tools is essential for facilitating communication, monitoring performance, and sharing knowledge; however, it should be complemented by human-centered leadership approaches to address issues like isolation, digital fatigue, and overwork. Organizations must prioritize leadership development programs that educate managers with the essential competencies to navigate the complexity of remote work. This study offers significant theoretical and practical insights, although its conceptual framework underscores the necessity for empirical validation via case studies and industry-specific research. Subsequent research should investigate the variability of digital leadership techniques across many cultural and organizational contexts, while also assessing their enduring effects on employee well-being and corporate performance. By integrating transformational leadership, strategically employing digital tools, and embracing cultural diversity, firms may foster resilient, inclusive, and adaptive remote work environments that excel in the digital era.

References:

- [1]. Baiyere, A., Salmela, H., & Tapanainen, T. (2020). Digital transformation and the new logics of business process management. *European journal of information systems*, 29(3), 238-259. Doi:10.1080/0960085X.2020.1718007
- [2]. Strielkowski, W., et al. (2022). Novel Insights in the leadership in business and economics: A post-coronavirus update. *Economies*, 10(2), 48. Doi:10.3390/ECONOMIES10020048
- [3]. Erickson, C. L., & Norlander, P. (2022). How the past of outsourcing and offshoring is the future of post-pandemic remote work: A typology, a model and a review. *Industrial Relations Journal*, 53(1), 71-89. Doi:10.1111/IRJ.12355
- [4]. Santarpia, F. P., et al. (2021). The bright and dark sides of resources for cross-role interrupting behaviors and work-family conflict: preliminary multigroup findings on remote and traditional working. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(22), 12207. Doi:10.3390/IJERPH182212207
- [5]. Aroles, J., Cecez-Kecmanovic, D., Dale, K., Kingma, S. F., & Mitev, N. (2021). New ways of working (NWW): Workplace transformation in the digital age. *Information and Organization*, 31(4), 100378. Doi:10.1016/J.INFOANDORG.2021.100378
- [6]. Lund, S., et al. (2021). The future of work after COVID-19. *McKinsey global institute*.
- [7]. Antonacopoulou, E. P., & Georgiadou, A. (2021). Leading through social distancing: The future of work, corporations and leadership from home. *Gender, Work & Organization*, 28(2), 749-767. Doi:10.1111/GWAO.12533
- [8]. Babapour Chafi, M., Hultberg, A., & Bozic Yams, N. (2021). Post-pandemic office work: Perceived challenges and opportunities for a sustainable work environment. *Sustainability*, 14(1), 294. Doi:10.3390/SU14010294
- [9]. Han, S. J., & Hazard, N. (2022). Shared leadership in virtual teams at work: practical strategies and research suggestions for human resource development. *Human Resource Development Review*, 21(3), 300-323. Doi:10.1177/15344843221093376
- [10]. Morrison-Smith, S., & Ruiz, J. (2020). Challenges and barriers in virtual teams: a literature review. *SN Applied Sciences*, 2(6), 1096.
- [11]. Corbett, F., & Spinello, E. (2020). Connectivism and leadership: harnessing a learning theory for the digital age to redefine leadership in the twenty-first century. *Heliyon*, 6(1). Doi:10.1016/J.HELİYON.2020.E03250
- [12]. Larson, L., & DeChurch, L. A. (2020). Leading teams in the digital age: Four perspectives on technology and what they mean for leading teams. *The leadership quarterly*, 31(1), 101377. Doi:10.1016/J.LEAQUA.2019.101377
- [13]. Greimel, N. S., Kanbach, D. K., & Chelaru, M. (2023). Virtual teams and transformational leadership: An integrative literature review and avenues for further research. *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge*, 8(2), 100351. Doi:10.1016/J.JIK.2023.100351
- [14]. Kähkönen, T. (2023). Remote work during the COVID-19 pandemic: identification of working life impacts, employees' data protection abilities and trust outcomes. *Journal of organizational change management*, 36(3), 472-492. Doi:10.1108/JOCM-06-2022-0179
- [15]. Shirish, A., Srivastava, S. C., & Panteli, N. (2023). Management and sustenance of digital transformations in the Irish microbusiness sector: examining the key role of microbusiness owner-manager. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 32(3), 409-433. Doi:10.1080/0960085X.2023.2166431
- [16]. Alajmi, M. K. (2022). The impact of digital leadership on teachers' technology integration during the COVID-19 pandemic in Kuwait. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 112, 101928. Doi:10.1016/J.IJER.2022.101928
- [17]. Morgan, B., & Papadonikolaki, E. (2021). Digital leadership for the built environment. *Industry 4.0 for the built environment: Methodologies, technologies and skills*, 591-608. Cham: Springer International Publishing. Doi:10.1007/978-3-030-82430-3_25

- [18]. El Sawy, O. A., et al. (2020). How LEGO built the foundations and enterprise capabilities for digital leadership. In *Strategic information management*, 174-201. Routledge.
- [19]. Baumann, H. M., & Marcum, T. M. (2023). Human capital and legal perspectives on remote work: recommendations for organizations. *Management Research Review*, 46(12), 1711-1726. Doi:10.1108/MRR-06-2022-0412
- [20]. Jacks, T. (2021). Research on remote work in the era of COVID-19. *Journal of Global Information Technology Management*, 24(2), 93-97. Doi:10.1080/1097198X.2021.1914500
- [21]. Jämsen, R., Sivunen, A., & Blomqvist, K. (2022). Employees' perceptions of relational communication in full-time remote work in the public sector. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 132, 107240. Doi:10.1016/J.CHB.2022.107240
- [22]. Şişu, J. A. (2023). Digital leadership competencies: A systematic literature review. *Revista de Management Comparat International*, 24(1), 69-77. Doi: 10.24818/RMCI.2023.1.69
- [23]. Nieken, P. (2023). Charisma in the gig economy: The impact of digital leadership and communication channels on performance. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 34(6), 101631. Doi:10.1016/J.LEAQUA.2022.101631
- [24]. Knappitsch, E. (2023). A New Narrative of Leadership in the Context of Global Virtual Teams?. *A Relational View on Cultural Complexity: Implications for Theory and Practice*, 367-389. Cham: Springer International Publishing. Doi:10.1007/978-3-031-27454-1_19
- [25]. Döös, M., & Wilhelmson, L. (2021). Fifty-five years of managerial shared leadership research: A review of an empirical field. *Leadership*, 17(6), 715-746. Doi:10.1177/17427150211037809
- [26]. Khan, S. N., Rehman, H. M., & Javaid, M. (2022). Overview of leadership approaches. In *Leadership and followership in an organizational change context*, 1-21. IGI Global. Doi:10.4018/978-1-7998-2807-5.ch001
- [27]. Gottfredson, R. K., & Reina, C. S. (2020). Exploring why leaders do what they do: An integrative review of the situation-trait approach and situation-encoding schemas. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 31(1), 101373. Doi:10.1016/J.LEAQUA.2019.101373
- [28]. Northouse, P. G. (2025). *Leadership: Theory and practice*. Sage publications.
- [29]. Henderikx, M., & Stoffers, J. (2022). An exploratory literature study into digital transformation and leadership: Toward future-proof middle managers. *Sustainability*, 14(2), 687. Doi:10.3390/SU14020687
- [30]. Fiedler, F. E. (1964). A Contingency Model of Leadership Effectiveness. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*, 1, 149-190. Doi:10.1016/S0065-2601(08)60051-9
- [31]. Dinibutun, S. R. (2020). Leadership: A Comprehensive Review of Literature, Research and Theoretical Framework. *Journal of Economics and Business*, 3(1), 44-64. Doi:10.2139/SSRN.3521205
- [32]. Herhausen, D., et al. (2021). Re-examining strategic flexibility: a meta-analysis of its antecedents, consequences and contingencies. *British Journal of Management*, 32(2), 435-455. Doi:10.1111/1467-8551.12413
- [33]. Horila, T., & Siitonen, M. (2020). A time to lead: Changes in relational team leadership processes over time. *Management Communication Quarterly*, 34(4), 558-584. Doi:10.1177/0893318920949700
- [34]. Ansell, C., Sørensen, E., & Torfing, J. (2021). The COVID-19 pandemic as a game changer for public administration and leadership? The need for robust governance responses to turbulent problems. *Public management review*, 23(7), 949-960. Doi:10.1080/14719037.2020.1820272
- [35]. Ly, B. (2024). The Interplay of Digital Transformational Leadership, Organizational Agility, and Digital Transformation. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*, 15, 4408-4427. Doi:10.1007/S13132-023-01377-8
- [36]. Abbu, H., et al. (2020). Digital leadership-character and competency differentiates digitally mature organizations. *2020 IEEE international conference on engineering, technology and innovation (ICE/ITMC)*. Doi:10.1109/ICE/ITMC49519.2020.9198576
- [37]. ElSaiyari, A. (2023). The impact of a professional upskilling training programme on developing teachers' digital competence. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 39(4), 1154-1166. Doi:10.1111/JCAL.12788
- [38]. Lim, W. M. (2023). The workforce revolution: Reimagining work, workers, and workplaces for the future. *Global Business and Organizational Excellence*, 42(4), 5-10. Doi:10.1002/JOE.22218
- [39]. Suciu, M. C., et al. (2023). Core Competence—As a Key Factor for a Sustainable, Innovative and Resilient Development Model Based on Industry 5.0. *Sustainability*, 15(9), 7472. Doi:10.3390/SU15097472
- [40]. Karakose, T., et al. (2022). The Development and Evolution of Digital Leadership: A Bibliometric Mapping Approach-Based Study. *Sustainability*, 14(23), 16171. Doi:10.3390/SU142316171
- [41]. Tigre, F. B., Curado, C., & Henriques, P. L. (2023). Digital Leadership: A Bibliometric Analysis. *Journal of Leadership and Organizational Studies*, 30(1), 40-70. Doi:10.1177/15480518221123132
- [42]. Contreras, F., Baykal, E., & Abid, G. (2020). E-Leadership and Teleworking in Times of COVID-19 and Beyond: What We Know and Where Do We Go. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 590271. Doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2020.590271
- [43]. Ozimek, A. (2020). The Future of Remote Work. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. Doi:10.2139/SSRN.3638597
- [44]. Arslan, A., Gölgeci, I., & Larimo, J. (2020). Expatriates, rise of telecommuting and implications for international business. *COVID-19 and International Business*, 156-166. Routledge. Doi:10.4324/9781003108924
- [45]. Cook, D. (2023). What is a digital nomad? Definition and taxonomy in the era of mainstream remote work. *World Leisure Journal*, 65(2), 256-275. Doi:10.1080/16078055.2023.2190608

- [46]. Ng, P. M. L., Lit, K. K., & Cheung, C. T. Y. (2022). Remote work as a new normal? The technology-organization-environment (TOE) context. *Technology in Society*, 70, 102022. Doi:10.1016/J.TECHSOC.2022.102022
- [47]. Ma, J. (2021). Telework triggered by epidemic: Effective communication improvement of telecommuting in workgroups during COVID-19. *American Journal of Industrial and Business Management*, 11(2), 202-214. Doi:10.4236/AJIBM.2021.112013
- [48]. Saura, J. R., Ribeiro-Soriano, D., & Saldaña, P. Z. (2022). Exploring the challenges of remote work on Twitter users' sentiments: From digital technology development to a post-pandemic era. *Journal of Business Research*, 142, 242-254. Doi:10.1016/J.JBUSRES.2021.12.052
- [49]. Ferreira, R., et al. (2021). Decision factors for remote work adoption: advantages, disadvantages, driving forces and challenges. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, 7(1), 70. Doi:10.3390/JOITMC7010070
- [50]. Shirmohammadi, M., Au, W. C., & Beigi, M. (2022). Remote work and work-life balance: Lessons learned from the covid-19 pandemic and suggestions for HRD practitioners. *Human Resource Development International*, 25(2), 163-181. Doi:10.1080/13678868.2022.2047380
- [51]. Uresha, K. I. (2020). Influence of Telecommuting on Work-life Balance and Employee Happiness: An Empirical Study of Sri Lankan Employees. *International Journal of Management Excellence*, 15(3), 2234-2243.
- [52]. Martin, R. D. (2020). Leveraging telecommuting pharmacists in the post-COVID-19 world. *Journal of the American Pharmacists Association*, 60(6), e113-e115. Doi:10.1016/J.JAPH.2020.07.026
- [53]. Chatterjee, S., Chaudhuri, R., & Vrontis, D. (2022). Does remote work flexibility enhance organization performance? Moderating role of organization policy and top management support. *Journal of Business Research*, 139, 1501-1512. Doi:10.1016/J.JBUSRES.2021.10.069
- [54]. Sandoval-Reyes, J., Idrovo-Carlier, S., & Duque-Oliva, E. J. (2021). Remote Work, Work Stress, and Work-Life during Pandemic Times: A Latin America Situation. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(13), 7069. Doi:10.3390/IJERPH18137069
- [55]. Loyless, L. H. (2023). Competence in virtual communication: Remote transformational leadership. *Public administration review*, 83(3), 702-709. Doi:10.1111/PUAR.13618
- [56]. Denham, T. (2021). The limits of telecommuting: Policy challenges of counterurbanisation as a pandemic response. *Geographical Research*, 59(4), 514-521. Doi:10.1111/1745-5871.12493
- [57]. Toscano, F., & Zappalà, S. (2020). Social Isolation and Stress as Predictors of Productivity Perception and Remote Work Satisfaction during the COVID-19 Pandemic: The Role of Concern about the Virus in a Moderated Double Mediation. *Sustainability*, 12(23), 9804. Doi:10.3390/SU12239804
- [58]. Dunatchik, A., et al. (2021). Gender, parenting, and the rise of remote work during the pandemic: Implications for domestic inequality in the United States. *Gender & Society*, 35(2), 194-205. Doi:10.1177/08912432211001301
- [59]. Rampasso, I. S., et al. (2022). Trends in remote work: A science mapping study. *Work*, 71(2), 441-450. Doi:10.3233/WOR-210912
- [60]. Kilcullen, M., Feitosa, J., & Salas, E. (2022). Insights from the virtual team science: Rapid deployment during COVID-19. *Human Factors*, 64(8), 1429-1440. Doi:10.1177/0018720821991678
- [61]. Barrero, J. M., et al. (2022). *The Shift to Remote Work Lessens Wage-Growth Pressures*. Doi:10.3386/W30197
- [62]. Althoff, L., et al. (2022). The geography of remote work. *Regional Science and Urban Economics*, 93, 103770. Doi:10.1016/J.REGSCIURBECO.2022.103770
- [63]. Budnitz, H., Tranos, E., & Chapman, L. (2020). Telecommuting and other trips: an English case study. *Journal of Transport Geography*, 85, 102713. Doi:10.1016/J.JTRANGE0.2020.102713
- [64]. Magnavita, N., Tripepi, G., & Chiorri, C. (2021). Telecommuting, off-time work, and intrusive leadership in workers' well-being. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(7), 3330. Doi:10.3390/IJERPH18073330
- [65]. Sjöblom, K., Juutinen, S., & Mäkilangas, A. (2022). The importance of self-leadership strategies and psychological safety for well-being in the context of enforced remote work. *Challenges*, 13(1), 14. Doi:10.3390/CHALLE13010014
- [66]. Gandrita, D., Gandrita, A., & Rosado, D. P. (2022). Overcoming Remote Leadership Challenges: Lessons Learned from Covid-19. *Journal of Business and Management studies*, 4(4), 170-183. Doi:10.32996/JBMS.2022.4.4.27
- [67]. Zappalà, S., Toscano, F., & Topa, G. (2021). The implementation of a remote work program in an Italian municipality before covid-19: Suggestions to hr officers for the post-covid-19 era. *European Journal of Investigation in Health, Psychology and Education*, 11(3), 866-877. Doi:10.3390/EJIHPE11030064
- [68]. Shin, J., Mollah, M. A., & Choi, J. (2023). Sustainability and organizational performance in South Korea: The effect of digital leadership on digital culture and employees' digital capabilities. *Sustainability*, 15(3), 2027. Doi:10.3390/SU15032027
- [69]. Mutha, P., & Srivastava, M. (2021). Engaging Virtual Teams. *Indian Journal of Industrial Relations*, 56(4), 732-747.
- [70]. Kupa, K. (2020). Challenges and Benefits of Virtual Teams: A Leadership Perspective. *58th International Scientific Conference on Economic and Social Development*, 193-202.
- [71]. Thambusamy, R. X., & Bekiroğulları, Z. (2020). Virtual leadership in small businesses during the COVID-19 pandemic: Challenges and possibilities. *The European Journal of Social & Behavioural Sciences*. Doi:10.15405/EJSBS.281

- [72]. Batırlık, S. N., Gencer, Y. G., & Akkucuk, U. (2022). Global virtual team leadership scale (GVTLS) development in multinational companies. *Sustainability*, 14(2), 1038. Doi:10.3390/SU14021038
- [73]. Singh, R. (2021). Information exchange at a distance: Examining the influence of leadership on knowledge sharing in virtual teams. *Journal of the Australian Library and Information Association*, 70(2), 125-138. Doi:10.1080/24750158.2020.1761090
- [74]. Krehl, E. H., & Büttgen, M. (2022). Uncovering the complexities of remote leadership and the usage of digital tools during the COVID-19 pandemic: A qualitative diary study. *German Journal of Human Resource Management*, 36(3), 325-352. Doi:10.1177/23970022211083697
- [75]. Eseryel, U. Y., Crowston, K., & Heckman, R. (2021). Functional and visionary leadership in self-managing virtual teams. *Group & Organization Management*, 46(2), 424-460. Doi:10.1177/1059601120955034
- [76]. Ben Sedrine, S., Bouderbala, A., & Nasraoui, H. (2021). Leadership style effect on virtual team efficiency: trust, operational cohesion and media richness roles. *Journal of Management Development*, 40(5), 365-388. Doi:10.1108/JMD-10-2018-0289
- [77]. Carvalho, A., Alves, H., & Leitão, J. (2022). What research tells us about leadership styles, digital transformation and performance in state higher education?. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 36(2), 218-232. Doi:10.1108/IJEM-11-2020-0514
- [78]. Castellano, S., et al. (2021). Impact of self-leadership and shared leadership on the performance of virtual R&D teams. *Journal of Business Research*, 128, 578-586. Doi:10.1016/J.JBUSRES.2020.12.030
- [79]. Bagga, S. K., Gera, S., & Haque, S. N. (2023). The mediating role of organizational culture: Transformational leadership and change management in virtual teams. *Asia Pacific Management Review*, 28(2), 120-131.
- [80]. Coun, M. J., et al. (2021). Leading innovative work-behavior in times of COVID-19: Relationship between leadership Style, innovative work-behavior, work-related flow, and IT-Enabled presence awareness during the first and second wave of the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 717345.
- [81]. Davidaviciene, V., & Al Majzoub, K. (2022). The effect of cultural intelligence, conflict, and transformational leadership on decision-making processes in virtual teams. *Social sciences*, 11(2), 64. Doi:10.3390/SOCSCI11020064
- [82]. Davidavičienė, V., Al Majzoub, K., & Meidute-Kavaliauskiene, I. (2020). Factors affecting knowledge sharing in virtual teams. *Sustainability*, 12(17), 6917. Doi:10.3390/SU12176917
- [83]. Garro-Abarca, V., Palos-Sanchez, P., & Aguayo-Camacho, M. (2021). Virtual teams in times of pandemic: Factors that influence performance. *Frontiers in psychology*, 12, 624637.
- [84]. Zulu, S. L., & Khosrowshahi, F. (2021). A taxonomy of digital leadership in the construction industry. *Construction Management and Economics*, 39(7), 565-578. Doi:10.1080/01446193.2021.1930080
- [85]. Azukas, M. E. (2022). Leading remotely: Competencies required for virtual leadership. *TechTrends*, 66(2), 327-337. Doi:10.1007/S11528-022-00708-X
- [86]. Gfrerer, A. E., Rademacher, L., & Dobler, S. (2021). Digital needs diversity: Innovation and digital leadership from a female managers' perspective. *Digitalization: Approaches, Case Studies, and Tools for Strategy, Transformation and Implementation*, 335-349. Cham: Springer International Publishing. Doi:10.1007/978-3-030-69380-0_19
- [87]. Kiljunen, M., et al. (2022). Remote leadership in health care: a scoping review. *Leadership in Health Services*, 35(1), 98-115. Doi:10.1108/LHS-06-2021-0059
- [88]. Antonopoulou, H., et al. (2020). Leadership types and digital leadership in higher education: Behavioural data analysis from University of Patras in Greece. *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*, 19(4), 110-129. Doi:10.26803/IJLTER.19.4.8
- [89]. Hensellek, S. (2020). Digital leadership: A framework for successful leadership in the digital age. *Journal of Media Management and Entrepreneurship (JMME)*, 2(1), 1-15. Doi:10.4018/JMME.2020010104
- [90]. Jameson, J., et al. (2022). A systematic review and framework for digital leadership research maturity in higher education. *Computers and Education Open*, 3, 100115. Doi:10.1016/J.CAEO.2022.100115
- [91]. Suksai, T., Suanpang, P., & Thangchitcharoenkhul, R. (2021). A Digital Leadership Development Model for School Administrators in Basic Education to Fulfill the Thailand 4.0 Policy. *PSAKU International Journal of Interdisciplinary Research*, 10(2), 11-20. Doi:10.14456/psakuijir.2021.2
- [92]. Sarfraz, M., et al. (2022). Exploring a Pathway to Sustainable Performance in Manufacturing Firms: The Interplay between Innovation Capabilities, Green Process, Product Innovations and Digital Leadership. *Sustainability*, 14(10), 5945. Doi:10.3390/SU14105945
- [93]. Gierlich-Joas, M., Hess, T., & Neuburger, R. (2020). More self-organization, more control—or even both? Inverse transparency as a digital leadership concept. *Business Research*, 13(3), 921-947. Doi:10.1007/S40685-020-00130-0
- [94]. Loucks, S., & Ozogul, G. (2020). Preparing Business Students for a Distributed Workforce and Global Business Environment: Gaining Virtual Leadership Skills in an Authentic Context. *TechTrends*, 64(4), 655-665. Doi:10.1007/S11528-020-00513-4
- [95]. Soon, C. C., & Salamzadeh, Y. (2021). The impact of digital leadership competencies on virtual team effectiveness in MNC companies in Penang, Malaysia. *Journal of Entrepreneurship, Business and Economics*, 8(2), 219-253.
- [96]. Samman, A. M. Al, & Muttar, A. K. (2022). Surviving the Pandemic through Virtual Leadership to Remote Work Teams. *ASU International Conference in Emerging Technologies for Sustainability and Intelligent Systems (ICETSIS)*, 402-408. Doi:10.1109/ICETSIS55481.2022.9888938
- [97]. Terkamo-Moisio, A., Karki, S., Kangasniemi, M., Lammintakanen, J., & Häggman-Laitila, A. (2022). Towards remote leadership in health care: Lessons learned from an integrative review. *Journal of advanced nursing*, 78(3), 595-608. Doi:10.1111/JAN.15028

- [98]. Yehia, B. F., Elsayy, M., & Karam, A. A. (2021). Post-COVID-19 learning context: the impact of remote leadership on blended learning in higher education. *International Conference on Business and Technology*, 311-330.
- [99]. Kandil, M. A., & Moustafa, M. A.-E. (2021). The Effect of Virtual Leadership Practices on Work Engagement During Covid-19. *Delta University Scientific Journal*, 4(1), 44–53. Doi:10.21608/DUSJ.2021.205893
- [100]. Efimov, I., et al. (2022). Virtual leadership in relation to employees' mental health, job satisfaction and perceptions of isolation: A scoping review. *Frontiers in psychology*, 13, 960955.
- [101]. Jaakkola, E. (2020). Designing conceptual articles: four approaches. *AMS Review*, 10, 18–26. Doi:10.1007/S13162-020-00161-0
- [102]. Brunton, G., Oliver, S., & Thomas, J. (2020). Innovations in framework synthesis as a systematic review method. *Research synthesis methods*, 11(3), 316-330. Doi:10.1002/JRSM.1399
- [103]. Fan, D., et al. (2022). Advancing literature review methodology through rigour, generativity, scope and transparency. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 24(2), 171–180. Doi:10.1111/IJMR.12291
- [104]. Anderson, M. H., & Lemken, R. K. (2023). Citation context analysis as a method for conducting rigorous and impactful literature reviews. *Organizational Research Methods*, 26(1), 77-106. Doi:10.1177/1094428120969905
- [105]. Ruparel, N., et al. (2023). Systematic literature review of professional social media platforms: Development of a behavior adoption career development framework. *Journal of Business Research*, 156, 113482. Doi:10.1016/J.JBUSRES.2022.113482
- [106]. Rouleau, G., et al. (2023). Systematic reviews of systematic quantitative, qualitative, and mixed studies reviews in healthcare research: how to assess the methodological quality of included reviews?. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 17(1), 51-69. Doi:10.1177/15586898211054243
- [107]. Escudero-Mancebo, D., et al. (2023). Research methods in engineering design: a synthesis of recent studies using a systematic literature review. *Research in Engineering Design*, 34(2), 221–256. Doi:10.1007/S00163-022-00406-Y
- [108]. Hoppenbrouwers, S. J., Proper, H. A., & van der Weide, T. P. (2005). A fundamental view on the process of conceptual modeling. *International Conference on Conceptual Modeling*, 128-143.
- [109]. Erhan, T., Uzunbacak, H. H., & Aydin, E. (2022). From conventional to digital leadership: exploring digitalization of leadership and innovative work behavior. *Management Research Review*, 45(11), 1524-1543. Doi:10.1108/MRR-05-2021-0338
- [110]. Wang, T., Lin, X., & Sheng, F. (2022). Digital leadership and exploratory innovation: From the dual perspectives of strategic orientation and organizational culture. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 902693.
- [111]. Magesa, M. M., & Jonathan, J. (2022). Conceptualizing digital leadership characteristics for successful digital transformation: the case of Tanzania. *Information Technology for Development*, 28(4), 777-796. Doi:10.1080/02681102.2021.1991872
- [112]. Mysirlaki, S., & Paraskeva, F. (2020). Emotional intelligence and transformational leadership in virtual teams: Lessons from MMOGs. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 41(4), 551-566. Doi:10.1108/LODJ-01-2019-0035
- [113]. Galanti, T., et al. (2021). Work from home during the COVID-19 outbreak: The impact on employees' remote work productivity, engagement, and stress. *Journal of occupational and environmental medicine*, 63(7), e426-e432. Doi:10.1097/JOM.0000000000002236
- [114]. Mayer, C., et al. (2023). Sharing leadership behaviors in virtual teams: effects of shared leadership behaviors on team member satisfaction and productivity. *Team Performance Management: An International Journal*, 29(1/2), 90-112. Doi:10.1108/TPM-07-2022-0054
- [115]. Imhanrenialena, B. O., et al. (2023). Addressing virtual work challenges through women's innate compassionate managerial leadership behaviors: the case of Nigeria. *Gender in Management: An International Journal*, 38(6), 730-746. Doi:10.1108/GM-08-2022-0275
- [116]. Dolce, V., et al. (2020). Far away, so close? The role of destructive leadership in the job demands-resources and recovery model in emergency telework. *Social Sciences*, 9(11). Doi:10.3390/SOCSCI9110196
- [117]. Hill, N. S. (2023). Leadership Strategies for the Hybrid Workforce. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, 64(3), 1–4.
- [118]. Stahl, G. K., & Maznevski, M. L. (2021). Unraveling the effects of cultural diversity in teams: A retrospective of research on multicultural work groups and an agenda for future research. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 52(1), 4. Doi:10.1057/S41267-020-00389-9
- [119]. Lisak, A., & Harush, R. (2021). Global and local identities on the balance scale: Predicting transformational leadership and effectiveness in multicultural teams. *PloS one*, 16(7), e0254656. Doi:10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0254656
- [120]. Liska, R. (2022). Can performance of modern virtual teams measure up to co-located teams?. *Team Performance Management: An International Journal*, 28(3/4), 205-222. Doi:10.1108/TPM-12-2021-0092
- [121]. Handke, L., et al. (2020). Interactive effects of team virtuality and work design on team functioning. *Small Group Research*, 51(1), 3-47. Doi:10.1177/1046496419863490
- [122]. Asatiani, A., Hämäläinen, J., Penttinen, E., & Rossi, M. (2021). Constructing continuity across the organisational culture boundary in a highly virtual work environment. *Information systems journal*, 31(1), 62-93. Doi:10.1111/ISJ.12293
- [123]. Hill, N. S., et al. (2024). Unpacking virtual work's dual effects on employee well-being: An integrative review and future research agenda. *Journal of Management*, 50(2), 752-792. Doi:10.1177/01492063221131535
- [124]. Qiu, F., & Dauth, T. (2022). Virtual work intensity, job satisfaction, and the mediating role of work-family balance: A study of employees in Germany and China. *German Journal of Human Resource Management*, 36(1), 77-111. Doi:10.1177/2397002221998227
- [125]. Eberl, J. K., & Drews, P. (2021). Digital Leadership – Mountain or Molehill? A Literature Review. *Innovation Through Information Systems*, 223–237.